and Central Family Welfare Council in its meeting held on September, 22—24, 1986, has, *inter-alia* recommended that:—

(i) The Medical Council of India may be requested to review the curriculum and syllabus of undergraduate and postgraduate courses with a view to incorporating the essential elements of Primary Health Care;

(ii) the Central and State Governments may establish universities of Health) Science in order to bring about coordination between various educational and training institutions of the modem and various Indian Systems of Medicine, Dentistry, Nursing Pharmacy, etc. and promote research;

(iii) The Central and State Governments should evolve uniform procedures ,for admission to medical colleges keeping in view the recommendations of the Medical Education Review Committee and the recent decision of tha Supreme Court.

(b) The above recommendations would be processed in consultation with the Medical Council of India, State Governments and, other concerned authorities.

Recovery of Joans from shipping companies by Shipping Development Fund Committee

172. SHRI GAYA CHAND BHUYAN: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANS-PORT be pleased to- state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Shipping Development Fund Committee (SDFC) has issued legal notices to shipping companis for the recovery of loans;

(b) if so, the names of the shipping *compames* served with legal notices and the amount due from them; and

(c) whether the shipping companies have since repaid the loan till date, if so, to what extent?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJESH PILOT) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(Rs.in crores)

	Name of the companies served with legal rotices	Amount due (Princi-pal & Interest)
1	M/s. Indoceanic Shipping Company Ltd.	4.85
2	M/s. Seven Seas Transportation Ltd.	10.90
3	M/s. Damodar Bulk Carriers Ltd.	25.86
4	M/s. Ratnakar Shipping Ltd. .	40.97

(c) No, Sir.

Escorts floating dry dock at Bombay

173. DR. BAPU KALDATE : Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether here is any proposal under Government's consideration regarding the permanent location of Escorts floating dry dock at Bombay if so, the reasons for delay in tabling a decision;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Escort floating dry dock was permitted to operate for one year in December, 1983; if so, the reasons for which it has been allowed to continue; and

(c) whether Government are aware that location of this dry dock between Nhava Sheva and the Elephanta Caves is likely to hinder the Nhava SAheva Port's Deve-lopment?